

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

_			<u> </u>			
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	08/013,543	02/04/1993	RYOICHIRO SATO	35.C6297-CON	8612	
	5514 75	590 10/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			CHIANG, JACK	
	NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2642		
				DATE MAIL ED. 10/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/013,543	SATO ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jack Chiang	2642	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress
THE REPLY FILED 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	tion in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approprionally set in the final	on. See MPEP ppriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	• •		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) will not be entered or b) will not be rejected is provided below	will be entered a v or appended.	ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-34, 36-37, 39-50, 55-56</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			i
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	, , , ,		
		Jeck Chiang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2642	

ADVISORY

NOTE: in response to the remarks filed on 07-22-04, on pages 2-6, applicant mainly argues that Konishi (US 4720707) is not able to do "character recognition"..., the images to be stored in the RAM locations are determined by the user. The examiner disagrees. First, if Konishi can place the scanned images (specification and drawing) at different memory locations, that means it can recognize the "character". Second, the "memory" (i.e. RAMs) is a computer memory, although Konishi describes in col. 4, last two lines to col. 5 that "the selection of the images to be stored in the RAMs 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 is made by the keyboard or by keys provided in the display unit 6. It is interpreted that if an image needs to be stored, hit the keys to store it. The computer software will perform the storing process, such as described in col. 5. lines 51-55. That does not mean that the user picks RAM 42 for one thing, and RAM 47 for another thing. In conclusion, Konishi does able to do "character recognition", otherwise, it won't be able to tell it is a "specification" in a scanned image. Further, from the editing process, it is also clear that it can recognize the "character", otherwise, it won't be able to edit the image either.

> JAĆK CHIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER